

**EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP**

101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110 617.439.4444 fax 617.439.4170 capdlaw.com

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER****MAR 06 2007****Date :** March 6, 2007**Pages (including cover) :** 4**Sender :****Name** Steven M. Jensen  
**Fax** 617.439.4170  
**Email** sjensen@capdlaw.com**Phone** 617.517.5531**To :**

U.S. Patent &amp; Trademark Office

**Fax**

571-273-8300

**Phone****If you experience problems receiving this fax, please call Kathleen M. Drury at 617.951.2288.****Message :** Re: Our Docket No. 56924 (70551)  
U.S. Serial No. 10/049,315

Please enter the attached response.

**Fax**

**Confidentiality Notice:** The documents accompanying this facsimile contain information from the law firm of Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP, which may be confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or the taking of any action in reliance on the contents of this facsimile is strictly prohibited, and that the documents should be returned to this firm immediately. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the return of the original documents to us at no cost to you.

BOSTON | FT. LAUDERDALE | HARTFORD | NEW YORK | PROVIDENCE | SHORT HILLS | STAMFORD | WEST PALM BEACH | WILMINGTON | LONDON

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**MAR 06 2007**

Docket No. 56924 (70551)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

APPLICANT: Y. Sawada

U.S. SERIAL NO.: 10/049,315

GROUP: 2178

FILED: February 8, 2002

EXAMINER: K. Stork

FOR: ELECTRONIC BOOK CONTENTS RECORDING MEDIUM WITH  
ELECTRONIC BOOK CONTENTS RECORDED THEREON,  
ELECTRONIC BOOK TRANSMISSION APPARATUS AND  
ELECTRONIC BOOK DISPLAY APPARATUS

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office by facsimile number 571-273-8300 on March 6, 2007.

By:   
Steven M. Jensen

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION**

Applicant is in receipt of the Office Action dated December 6, 2006.

Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, and 18 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over "The Declaration of Independence A History" (hereinafter "History") in view of "Cascading Style Sheets, level 1" (hereinafter "Style"). Claims 6, 13, 15, and 17 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over History in view of Style, and further in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication US 2002/0049833 to Kikinis. The remaining claims were rejected over various combinations including the above references. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Y. Sawada  
U.S. Serial No. 10/049,315  
Page 2 of 3

As recited in independent claims 1, 8, 15, 17, and 18, the Applicant's claimed **body data unit** "includes no data to be actually displayed on the display region."

On page 3, third paragraph of the Office Action of 12/06/2006, it was admitted that the History document does not teach or suggest a body data unit that "includes no data to be actually displayed on the display region."

However, the Style document was cited allegedly to remedy at least this deficiency of History. In particular, it was alleged that in Style, "the style sheet data (body data) does not contain any data to be actually displayed on the screen, instead it references the part data, via a file name, which is rendered, in accordance to the style sheet, for display)" (see page 3, last full paragraph and "Response to Arguments" on page 11 of the Office Action of 12/06/2006).

It appears that the Examiner is interpreting "body data" in Style as referring **only** to a style element, for example, the following line of HTML code: `<P STYLE="color: green">`.

However, it is clear from pages 6-7 of the Style document that the body data is not limited to a particular "STYLE" element, but includes all elements appearing inside the `<BODY>` and `</BODY>` tags. Indeed, the Style document specifically describes how a "STYLE" attribute appears inside the "BODY" so as to combine style and HTML (see Style document at page 6, last paragraph).

If "body data" in the Style document is properly interpreted as including all elements inside the `<BODY>` and `</BODY>` tags, then the body data clearly includes data to be displayed, and thus the Applicant's claimed invention distinguishes over the Style document, whether taken alone or in combination with the History document.

Moreover, in the History document, the body data includes elements appearing inside the `<BODY>` and `</BODY>` tags. On page 3 of the Office Action of 03/08/2006, regarding the History document, the Examiner stated: "Here the `<BODY>` tag sets a body data unit."

Y. Sawada  
U.S. Serial No. 10/049,315  
Page 3 of 3

Further, in basic HTML programming, the "BODY" of an HTML document corresponds to the information appearing between the <body> tag and its corresponding end tag (see document "A Beginner's Guide to HTML," which was previously cited by Applicants in an IDS).


One of ordinary skill in the art would understand that body data is not limited to only a particular style element, but rather encompasses all elements appearing between the <BODY> and </BODY> tags. In other words, the "body data" in the Style document must include all elements appearing inside the <BODY> and </BODY> tags, in order to be consistent with the History document, and with generally understood HTML programming code. As such, because the "body data" includes display data in the History and Style documents, even if these references were somehow combined, there would be no teaching or suggestion of the claimed invention.

For at least the reasons discussed above, the proposed combinations of the History, Style, and/or Kikin's references do not teach or suggest the Applicant's claimed invention as recited in independent claims 1, 8, 15, 17, and 18.

It is believed the application is in condition for immediate allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 6, 2007

By:   
Steven M. Jensen  
(Reg. No. 42,693)

Phone: (617) 439-4444

Customer No. 21874

Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge  
P.O. Box 55874  
Boston, MA 02205